•	Uniter	O STATES DISTRI	CT COURT	U.S. DISTRICT C	OURT,
		District of	NEBRA	DISTRICT OF NEB ASKA	3KASKA
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2005 AUG 22 PM	
	V.	ORDEF	R OF DETENTION	PENDINGETRIATHE	OLER'
	NATHAN A. GRUHN Defendant	Case Number	er: 4:02CR3147	UFFICE VI	ULL.
In a	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.	C £ 3149/f) a detention hearing ha	1 L-14 Toomsbudgethot	and the second second second	
detentio	on of the defendant pending trial in this case.	. 9 3142(1), a determion nearing new	, been neid. I conclude mat t	the following tacts require the	,
~ 4)		Part I—Findings of Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense desc or local offense that would have been a federa a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C an offense for which the maximum senten an offense for which a maximum term of i	rai offense if a circumstance giving ri S.C. § 3156(a)(4). ence is life imprisonment or death.	rise to federal jurisdiction had	☐ federal offense ☐ state ad existed that is	_
(2) (3)	a felony that was committed after the defe § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state of The offense described in finding (1) was common a project of the offense described in five years has elapsed to the offense described in five years have also become the offense described in five years have also become the offense described in the offense descr	e or local offenses. nmitted while the defendant was on r	release pending trial for a fed	deral state or local offense	
	for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebut safety of (an) other person(s) and the commun	outtable presumption that no condition	on or combination of conditio	one will reasonably agone the	
(1)		efendant has committed an offense			•
	for which a maximum term of imprisonme under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ent of ten years or more is prescribe	ed in	,	
(2)	Under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption the appearance of the defendant as required an	and the satety of the community.	ndition or combination of co	nditions will reasonably assure	e
- a	and the state of the defendant will	Alternative Findings (B)			
(2)	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.				
					· -
					<i>.</i>
					-
I find derance of the poly	of the evidence that Def Nas heep was	able to curb h		ing evidence \(\sigma\) a prepon- \(\text{Wella mphetam} \)	une, -
	7				•
	T.				_
easonable Jovernme	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attoretient practicable, from persons awaiting or servible opportunity for private consultation with definent, the person in charge of the corrections facilitation with a court proceeding.	efense course On order of a court	resentative for confinement in a stody pending appeal. The d	defendant shall be afforded a	
	Date		nature of Judicial Officer		
	·		eiester, U.S. Magistrate Judge	ə	-
		Name ar	nd Title of Judicial Officer		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).